### "Developing alternatives for illegal chainsaw lumbering through multistakeholder dialogue in Ghana and Guyana"

European Commission programme on Tropical Forests and other Forests in Developing Countries

# Second District Level Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue (DLMSD II) Minutes Akyem Oda

Date: 25<sup>th</sup> February, 2010 Venue: Municipal Assembly Hall



#### MSD Members Present:

1. Abubakari Donko	Chainsaw mechanic, Akyem Oda
2. Adwoa Serwa	Lumber broker, Akyem Oda
3. Aikins R. Ababio	GNFS, Akyem Oda
4. Alex Anim	Carrier, Aprade
5. Anaafi Kwesi,	Chainsaw operator, Apoli,
6. Boadi Frimpong	Carrier, Apoli Ningo
7. Boniface Gayiri	BSDA, Akyem Swedru
8. D/Insp Fabian Sallah	GPS, Akyem Oda
9. Dada Alex Anim	Chainsaw operator, Aprade
10. Ebenezer Frimpong	Lumber broker, Akyem Oda
11. Francis Y. Amedzator	MOFA, Akyem Oda
12. Franklin Kobblah	BNI, Akyem Oda
13. Grace Asantewa	Sawmiller, Akyem Oda
14. Hannah Quaison	Lumber broker, Akyem Oda
15. Isaac Kwao	NTFP Collector, Aprokumasi
16. Kofi Nyame	NTFP Collector, Aprokumasi
17. Korankye Daniel	BCMA, Akyem Oda
18. Kwame Asrifi Attafuah	Lumber broker, Akyem Oda
19. Kwao Adams Mackenzie	Assemblyman, Apoli Ningo
20. Kwesi Badu	Lumber broker, Aprade
21. Layea Odei	Chainsaw operator, Apoli Ningo
22. Nana Apagya Hene	TA, Kyereso
23. Nana Ofosu Kwabi III	TA, Kyereso
24. Peter Bonzi	Wood broker, Akyem Oda
25. Ransford Asomaning	Chainsaw operator, Aprokumasi
26. Stephen Abed Amegatse	GIS, Akyem Oda

#### **Observers:**

1. Emit Ohene Djan

#### Project team

1.	Emmanuel Fosu	PA, EU CSM Project
2.	Samuel Forson	RA, FORIG
3.	Rebecca Baning Oppan	CFW, Akim Oda

FSD, Akyem Oda

# Absent:

1. Nana Ofosu Aduamoah II Sawmiller, Akyem Oda

#### <u>Acronyms</u>

BNI	Bureau of National Investigation
BSDA	Birim South District Assembly
BCMA	Birim Central Municipal Assembly
CFW	Community Forestry Worker
CSM	Chainsaw Milling
EU	European Union
DLMSD	District Level Multi-stakeholder Dialogue
DFM	District Forestry Manager
FORIG	Forestry Research Institute of Ghana
FSD	Forestry Services Division
GIS	Ghana Immigration Service

GNFS	Ghana National Fire Service
GPS	Ghana Police Service
MOFA	Ministry of Food and Agriculture
MSD	Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue
NTFP	Non Timber Forestry Produce
PA	Project Assistant
RA	Research Asistant
SWOT	Strengths Weaknesses Opportunities and Threats
ТА	Traditional Authority

### Agenda:

The agreed agenda for the meeting are:

- Reading of previous minutes
- Feedback from national MSD 2
- > Stakeholders preference of the three proposed policy options
- > SWOT analysis
- > National plantation programme

### Proceedings

<b>1.0 Opening</b> The CFW called the meeting to order at 10:45 am. Mr Boniface Gayiri prayed for the commencement of the meeting.	
<b>2.0 Introduction</b> The CFW introduced members of the DLMSD and the staff from the project secretariat.	
<b>3.0 Apologies</b> The CFW announced the apologies from the DFM who was on official duty out of the district.	
<b>4.0 Introduction of Chairman and Welcome Address</b> Nana Osabarima Ofosu Kwabi III, the Chairman of Eastern Region forest forum chaired the meeting. In his welcome address, he talked about the importance forests and impact of chainsaw milling. He advised that whiles the MSD process is ongoing, stakeholder should try to plant trees as their contribution to helping solve forest degradation. He urged all members to effectively participate in the MSD discussions.	
<b>5.0 Purpose of the meeting</b> The CFW presented the purpose of the meeting as to:	
<ul> <li>Give feed back to district members who were not at the national MSD 2.</li> <li>Carry out SWOT analysis of the three recommended policy options</li> <li>Brief members on the national plantation programme</li> </ul>	
<b>6.0 Reading and Acceptance of Previous Minutes</b> After going through the minutes and correcting some typographical errors mainly names, Mr. Aikins Ababio moved for acceptance of the minutes and seconded by Mr Daniel Korankye.	
7.0 Feedback from MSD 2	

Action

Mr Isaac Kwao an NTFP collector and the CFW reported on the outcome of the national MSD 2. The report covered: Inauguration of the national MSD steering committee Speeches of encouragement Stakeholders preference of recommended policy options the SWOT analysis 8.0 Stakeholders preferred option before SWOT analysis The PA explained the reason why information on stakeholders' preferred policy option is being collected prior to the SWOT analysis. Each member wrote secretly on papers his/her preferred policy option and the results collated by the RA from FORIG as follows: Option 1 (Domestic lumber supplied by sawmills only) 1 = 4.5%Option 2 (Domestic lumber supplied by sawmills and artisanal millers) 13 = 59.1% Option 3 (Domestic lumber supplied by Artisanal Operators only) 8 = 36.4%9.0 SWOT analysis The PA explained the SWOT analysis tool to member after which they were groups into three with each group analysing one option. 9.1 Presentation of SWOT analysis result Leaders of the various groups presented the outcome of the SWOT analysis. See annex for detailed report on SWOT analysis. 10.0 Stakeholders preferred option after SWOT analysis A second Information on the preferred option after the SWOT analysis was collected. The aim was to find out whether members' perception of the option has changed after the SWOT analysis. The following were the results: Option 1 (Domestic lumber supplied by sawmills only) 0 = 0%Option 2 (Domestic lumber supplied by sawmills and artisanal millers) 15 = 68%Option 3 (Domestic lumber supplied by Artisanal Operators only) 7 = 32%11.0 Information about the National Plantation Programme The CFW briefed members on the national plantation programme and the role the project is playing to involve stakeholders in the programme. 12.0 Date for Next Meeting The date will be communicated to members after the third national meeting. 13.0 Closing The CFW thanked members for coming. He advised the members to send feedback to their constituencies and stakeholder groups. A Audertoot

Sianed:

Signed for:

Emmanuel Fosu(PA) - Recorder

Osabarima Ofosu Kwabi III - Chairman

#### Annexes

#### **Outcome of Group Discussions (SWOT ANALYSIS)**

Options	Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Domestic lumber supplied by sawmills only	<ul> <li>Sawmillers have logistics in terms of equipment and vehicles to operate.</li> <li>Have qualified and trained manpower to carry out activities.</li> <li>Sawmillers have legal access to the resource.</li> <li>Sawmillers are recognized by banks and can easily assess loans to supply required demand.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The earth moving equipment like skidders destroys a number of young trees and farm crops.</li> <li>The current technology cannot be used on high lands and valleys.</li> <li>Sawmillers have high interest in export than supplying lumber to the domestic market.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There is ready market for lumber.</li> <li>It is an opportunity to expand production and hence business.</li> <li>It is a prospect to increase revenue generated for government.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Likelihood of higher imposition of tax due to probable high revenue from local supply.</li> <li>Influx of mushroom sawmills to produce low quality product</li> </ul>
Domestic lumber supplied by sawmills and artisanal millers	<ul> <li>There will be relatively low waste in lumber production.</li> <li>The two parties have skilled labour for production.</li> <li>Relatively high quality lumber ill be produced.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of cooperation between the sawmillers and artisanal millers.</li> <li>Most of artisanal millers use human beings to convey lumber to accessible place which is very risky.</li> </ul>	Re-afforestation programmes in place to augment forest resources.	<ul> <li>Likely fall in profit margin as a result of oversupply to the market.</li> <li>Depleting forest.</li> </ul>
Domestic lumber supplied by Artisanal Operators only	<ul> <li>Training on the use of artisanal mills is relatively easy.</li> <li>Needs relatively little capital to start operation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Artisanal milling cannot meet the current local demand due to its small scale of production.</li> <li>Most artisanal millers lack education on safety standards which leads to harmful practices.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There is available market for the lumber produced.</li> <li>Labour is readily available for operation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The limited availability of the resource is likely to compel sawmillers to sabotage artisanal milling.</li> <li>Lack of transparency in resource allocation will result in corrupt practices.</li> </ul>

#### Questions that needs to be considered:

- i. Is the government ready to reduce taxes if sawmillers are to supply wholly to the domestic market?
- ii. Are sawmillers willing to supply to the domestic market?
- iii. Are consumers ready to patronize sawmill lumber even if the prices are relatively higher that chainsaw lumber?
- iv. What is the guarantee that there will be timber to saw into lumber always?
- v. Do the artisanal millers have the capacity to produce lumber for the whole country?
- vi. Can the artisanal millers supply all sizes of lumber to be needed by customers?
- vii. Will the government be able to enact and implement law(s) to regulate the activities of artisanal millers in such a way that their activities will not cause environmental degradation?